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ABSTRACT
We present LGraph, an open-source database for digital circuits in different phases of the synthesis and physical design flow. LGraph is a bi-directional graph and uses memory maps for fast persistence to disk. It is meant to be a convergence point between open-source EDA tools, which will improve the integration of research in different areas. It is already integrated with Yosys, ABC and OpenTimer and includes parsing for LEF/DEF and Liberty formats. It can also read Pyrope, a modern HDL. Extensions will include a placement and a routing tools as well as Chisel integration.

1. INTRODUCTION
Currently, there are many formats to represent a digital design in the different phases of the flow. However, there is no open-source option that can represent the design in different steps of the flow. The alternative is to use different formats throughout the design flow, for instance, Verilog and BLIF during logic synthesis, LEF/DEF or bookshelf during physical design and GDS for layout and parasitics. There have been some attempts to create a more concise framework for a wider set of applications, for instance the OpenDesign Flow Database [7].

Other efforts focused on specific points of the flow. FIRRTL [6] and RTLIL [14] are two open-source formats that target RTL and netlist. Whereas, Rsyn [3] and Ophidian [4] provide an extensible framework for physical design. Also, the formats are usually task specific and not ideal for integration.

Academic EDA research and contests, e.g., ISPD, TAU, and ICCAD, focused on isolated steps of the design flow. While there have been useful advances in various areas of EDA, a need for an integration has been identified [8]. In [8], the author proposed a horizontal benchmark extension methodology, which not simply converts data format between benchmarks, but also reorganizes it by either filling missing parts or simplifying redundancy for different design groups can use memory maps for fast persistence to disk. It is already integrated with Yosys, ABC and OpenTimer and includes parsing for LEF/DEF and Liberty formats. It can also read Pyrope, a modern HDL. Extensions will include a placement and a routing tools as well as Chisel integration.

We present Live Graph (LGraph), a graph database, that works as a bridge between different parts of the design flow. It can represent RTL, any netlist, or a placed and routed design. LGraph interface with several input languages, like verilog, Liberty, LEF/DEF, and Pyrope [12]. LGraph interfaces directly with ABC for technology mapping and synthesis [2], and OpenTimer [5] for static timing analysis. Placement and Routing tools are currently being developed and will work directly in LGraph. LGraph is based on memory maps for fast persistence – similar to mmap [10] – and is based on the struct of array paradigms to increase memory locality, for instance, when performing static timing analysis, only the timing related tables are brought to cache. LGraph is inspired by the LiveSynth mindset and aim for incremental synthesis results in a few seconds [11].

Besides synthesis, LGraph is actively being developed to support simulation of synthesizable HDLs. There is a focus to have fast code generation, debugging support, and a framework similar to LLVM but focused on the much simpler subset of synthesizable HDLs.

Multiple communities will benefit from LGraph. Developers of new HDLs can simply map to LGraph and leverage the existing back-end infrastructure. Physical design groups can use LGraph to provide support for different languages and to evaluate integration with other steps, moving beyond simple benchmarks regularly used for specific steps in physical design. RTL designers will be able to use the integrated open-source flow, which provides a very low entry level barrier, instead of spending time integrating tools from different domains. We see LGraph as the LLVM in hardware design since it provides a converging point for both language developers and back-end engineers.

Our results show that LGraph is fast being able to traverse netlists with millions of nodes in about 0.01s when ordering is not required, and in 0.5s traversing from inputs to outputs, which is comparable to the best academic implementation. LGraph size is 70% to 90% larger than Verilog, which does not include physical information, and comparable with DEF. One of the main advantages of LGraph is to serve as an integration point for open-source projects in different areas of EDA.

2. LGRAPH
LGraph is a graph representation optimized to represent netlists during different phases of the synthesis and
2.2 LGraph Database organization

As a memory map, the LGraph database gets automatically persisted to disk when the program exits. Conceptually, the database contains a target technology (for mapped designs) and a set of modules (LGraphs) that represent the design itself. Information corresponding to the standard cell library is not duplicated in the graph nodes; instead, each node has a type that points to a specific cell in the library.

A LGraph represents a single module and consists of a collection of tables, indexed by node ID. The overview of the database organization is provided in Figure 2 (not all tables represented). To prevent adding arbitrarily sized strings in the tables, strings are stored in a separate char array that provides a unique ID. Note the case of instance names (“inst_name”). Names are stored in the char array “instance_name_char_array”, while the table “inst_name” stores pointers to specific strings in the array.

Users can define custom tables as they identify the need for new applications. However, LGraph provides a set of standard tables that should be used to keep compatibility across users. Those tables cover the basic functionalities of VLSI netlists and are enough to represent a netlist at any point during the design time.

2.3 Graph Representation

Internally, LGraph uses a bi-directional adjacency list representation [13] more efficient to represent sparse graphs. The main drawbacks of an adjacency list are the difficulty of getting reverse edges. Thus, the decision for a bi-directional adjacency list graph is still more memory efficient for VLSI netlist than an adjacency matrix and allow both forward and backward traversals efficiently.

Each netlist gate can be represented by multiple graph nodes. Each gate is represented in LGraph by an unique node ID. Node IDs are sequentially assigned, at node creation, starting from 1. Each port in a gate is identified by a port ID, PID for short. An overview of the LGraph representation with the adjacency list and edges is shown in Figure 3. The graph in the left of the figure is represented by the LGraph in the right.

For cache locality efficiency, nodes are preallocated 64 bytes to be aligned with a L1 cache line. If more space is needed – e.g., due to a large number of output edges – extra space is allocated. For compactness, most edges use relative indexes. Since most edges will point to relatively close indexes, only a small number of bits is needed to represent them.

2.4 LGraph Types

In LGraph, a type is represented as a 64bit unsigned integer in the form of an enumeration, divided into ranges. Each range serves a specific category of node type. The first range of few dozens is reserved for “native” or primitive LGraph types. Those represent control flow, unmapped logic and arithmetic operators, and wire operators. Then a range of $2^{32}$ can hold subgraph types, which are used to represent the design hierarchy. The third and fourth ranges are used for constants, 32 bit (third) and arbitrarily sized (stored as char array IDs in the fourth range). The last range is used for
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For the sake of clarity, throughout this document, node refers to a graph node, gate refers to a netlist gate. In LGraph a gate can be represented by multiple nodes.
standard cell technology mapping, where each cell is also assigned a unique ID.

One particularity in the types representation is the use of single port per function in commutative operations, e.g., an and gate contains a single input port, since the order of the operation does not matter. Also, it is possible to connect any number of operators in each port. Thus it is possible, for instance, to create a 3 input and gate using the same operator as one would use for a 2 input and gate. Arithmetic operators like plus, mul, lt, gt, and others also have specific ports for signed and unsigned inputs. In the specific case of plus, there are also ports for minus operation. The overall goal is to reduce code complexity when performing transformation, since several transformations are insensitive to whether a number is signed or not and can handle fewer cases with the reduced number of operators.

LGraph defines special operators for wire manipulation. Join operators are used to concatenate wires and Pick operators are used to select ranges within a multibit wire. For Pick, we leverage the information on the bit width of the node and rely on a constant that tells the offset from which we start the range.

2.5 Routing Representation

For routing, LGraph uses a shape based representation. Overall, each pair of nodes can be connected by one out of a few basic shapes. To generate more complex routing shapes, extra nodes can be inserted. Each basic shape connects a set of nodes, from a source to at least one sink, whose placements (x, y, and layer) are known. We anticipate that extra basic routing shapes will be added in the future, but currently, LGraph supports straight, L and T shape. There is a trade-off between extra shapes and extra nodes that requires further study to decide on extra shapes. Metal layers and vias are based on metal layer information from the nodes.

2.6 Open Projects

The LGraph code base is in active development and available on GitHub. However, there are still some open ideas to improve adoption by the community.

Some ongoing work in our group includes the implementation of a placement and routing tool for ASIC. The implementation of a custom timer is also on-going and will run on LGraph instead of requiring to first export to OpenTimer. There is also an ongoing effort to integrate a SAT solver into LGraph to facilitate synthesis transformations and verification in LGraph itself.

Support for multiple emerging languages is being added, for instance there is currently work in integrating Pyrope, which is leveraging LGraph as an API to perform control flow graph analysis and data flow graph generation and optimization. Chisel support is also among the planned extensions for LGraph.

For simulation, the team has prototypes using C++ targets and LLVM. The main advantage would be the generation of simulation binaries. The code and infrastructure generated allow to read from several languages and generate a single simulation as long as the HDLs are fully synthesizable.

3. Evaluation

3.1 Setup

We compared LGraph with Yosys (version 0.7+483) and RSyn (commit 02d79e4) for reading and writing from disk and traversal time. For sizes, we compare LGraph with Verilog, RTLIL (Yosys representation) and DEF. We used the ICCAD15 SuperBlue benchmarks. The benchmarks range in size from 770k to 1.9M gates.

We implemented two simple algorithms: 1) histogram of cell types and 2) find maximum combinational depth. Although basic operations, those closely mimic area estimation and timing estimation. Calculating the histogram of cell types can be done in any order, and thus allow for a faster traversal of the netlist. Finding the maximum combinational depth is done in topological order, i.e., from inputs to outputs. All experiments were run on a Intel(R) Xeon(R) E3-1275 v3 core @ 3.50GHz with 32GB of memory, running Archlinux. Tools were compiled with clang v6.0.0.

3.2 Results

Figure 3 shows the runtime for both the histogram and the combinational depth algorithms. Since the passes are overall much faster than the read and write times, we performed histogram 100 times and depth 20 times. We note that LGraph has much smaller read and write times due to the memory mapped, which avoids the need to parse netlist files. Yosys has a slower real time since it has a parser capable of reading the full specification of Verilog. RSyn has a more basic Verilog parser meant for netlists and that is not general for any Verilog, and thus is faster. RSyn can also read DEF netlists, which is not available in Yosys. In general, LGraph is able to load benchmarks in about 1−2s, RSyn in about 30−40s and Yosys in about 130−200s.

The traversals have comparable runtime between RSyn and LGraph. An unordered traversal takes about 0.01s with either of the tools, in Yosys the traversal time
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(a) Graph (b) LGraph Representation

Figure 3: LGraph uses an adjacency list to efficiently represent sparse graphs. The graph (left) is represented as the LGraph (right). In the left, the little numbers outside of nodes represent port IDs, omitted for gates with a single port ID. Short edges contain relative indexes (±delta), and long edges have absolute indexes.

https://github.org/mascucsc/lgraph
Traversing a netlist in LGraph is as fast as RSyn and in faster than Yosys, in particular for ordered traversal.

Figure 5: LGraph size in disk is compatible with that of DEF files. Verilog was the most efficient representation among the ones tested.

is about 50% slower, but still around 0.015s. For ordered operations (i.e., from input to output), LGraph and RSyn are able to traverse a large netlist in about 0.5s, whereas a traversal in Yosys takes about 5–10s depending on the benchmark.

We also looked into the size of each representation. For that comparison, we only looked into the physical benchmarks. Verilog netlists are the smallest of the representations considered, ranging from 80 to 198MB for the benchmarks tested. RTLIL, the internal representation of Yosys was the largest representation, ranging from 205 to 500MB. LGraph was mostly equivalent to DEF files, both ranging from about 140 to 340MB. A summary of the sizes for the benchmarks tested is provided in Figure 5. However, Verilog and RTLIL do not include physical information.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We present LGraph, an open-source database for digital circuits that can represent netlists in different phases of the design flow from RTL to layout. LGraph is intended as a convergence point for efforts from different groups and communities, from HDL and compilers research to physical design.

Our results show that LGraph can traverse netlists with millions of nodes in about 0.011s when ordering is not required, and in about 0.5s traversing from inputs to outputs. This is comparable to the best academic implementation. LGraph is comparable in size to DEF, and about 70% to 90% larger than Verilog, which does not include physical information. Further work includes integration with place and route tools, other HDLs and extensions to support academic contests.
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